

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,431	3,431 10/09/2001		Liyou Yang	SAR 14083	6286	
35895	7590	12/05/2003		EXAMINER		
		PROPERTY DOCK	ASSAF, FAYEZ G			
	S, DEL DEC FRONT PLA	O, DOLAN, GRIFFIN AZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	NI 0710		2872	-		

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,					Mc				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summa		09/973,431		YANG, LIYOU					
Office Action Summa		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Fayez G. As		2872	I due a a				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appe	ears on the d	over sheet with the C	orrespondence ac	iaress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the	IMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 nis communication. thirty (30) days, a reply v imum statutory period wil for reply will, by statute, o months after the mailing o	e6(a). In no event within the statuto ill apply and will a cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>15 Sep</u>	eptemb <u>er 20</u>	<u>03</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	action is non	-final.						
 Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the 	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending i	n the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 is	/are withdrawn fro	om conside	ation.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or	election red	uirement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to	•								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
· ·									
11) The oath or declaration is obje		aminer. Note	e the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-				(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group I: claims 1-17 and 19-20 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Claim 18 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 8, line 1, the phrase "the optical packet header" lacks antecedent basis. The claim has been interpreted as being dependent from claim 7.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2872

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura (US 5329601) in view of Glass et al. (US 4,703,996).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 4-6, Nakamura discloses an integrated optical switch, comprising, an optical directional coupler including a first semiconductor waveguide (6 to 8 of Fig. 1) and a second semiconductor waveguide (5 to 7 of Fig. 1), wherein selected voltage differentials across the first and second waveguides correspond to selected switch states (claim 1). Nakamura does not teach an optical detector comprising amorphous semiconductor material integral to the optical directional coupler and operable to select at least one of the voltage differentials.

However, Glass teaches such an optical detector (see the Abstract).

It would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the detector as being taught by Glass for the purpose of

Art Unit: 2872

achieving fast switching response (line 3 to line 4 of the Abstract).

Regarding claim 3, the combination discloses the amorphous semiconductor materials being amorphous silicon alloys (line 38 to 39 of Col. 4).

Regarding claim 7, the combination discloses the optical detector reading an optical pack header (i.e. any optical data information).

Regarding claim 9, the combination discloses the claimed invention except for the detector including an intrinsic layer having amorphous germanium.

However, the use of a preferred material does not serve as basis for patentability.

It would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize such a semiconductor material because the optical and electrical properties of said material are well understood. Further, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2872

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 8 is allowable over the prior art for at least the reason that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest the optical header information controlling the selected switch states as set forth in the claimed combination.

Claims 10-16 are allowable over the prior art for at least the reason that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest the second PIN diode being responsive to a biasing voltage, wherein the biasing voltage corresponding to the address information as set forth in the claimed combination.

Claim 17 is allowable over the prior art for at least the reason that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest the a-Ge intrinsic layer on the second p-type a-Si:H layer as set forth in the claimed combination.

Claims 19 and 20 are allowable over the prior art for at least the reason that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest biasing the second PIN diode according to the address as set forth in the claimed combination.

Conclusion

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/973,431

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fayez G. Assaf whose telephone number is (703) 306-5526. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (703) 305-0024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Fayez G. Assaf Examiner Art Unit 2872

FA 12/1/03

Jos Assat